CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

- Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.
- Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility to the county where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the appropriate CalFresh Bureau unit manager.

1.	RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO:	5. DATE OF REQUEST: NEED DEPROMEEN				
	✓ Policy/Regulation Interpretation		May 14, 2013	NEED RESPONSE BY: May 30, 2013		
	☐ QC ☐ Fair Hearing	6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: Riverside County				
	Other:	7. SUBJECT: Additional affidavit under penalty of perjury				
2.	REQUESTOR NAME: Robin Zeno-Jackson	8.				
3.	PHONE NO.: 951 358-4994	·				
4.	REGULATION CITE(S): ACL 12-25					

9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):

In the past, Riverside County received a QC error for requesting customers who receive cash only payments and are not able to provide statements from self-employment to provide an additional affidavit in addition to the QR 7. After the error we updated our county policy to not request an additional affidavit For example:

If a HH reports income received from collecting cans or providing lawn service on the QR 7 and elects the 40% deduction, no additional verification is required as the QR 7 is signed under penalty of perjury.

SAR regulations are requiring an additional affidavit signed under penalty of perjury if a customer cannot verify his or her earnings .

10. REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:

Counties are now required to request two statements under penalty of perjury if a customer cannot obtain verification of income.

11. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):

The state concurs with the proposed response. The SAR 7 itself is not an affidavit of income, it is only an affidavit of a change in income, therefore, the client needs to verify the change in income in addition to the SAR 7.

Income verification is not required to be included with the SAR 7 if the information on the SAR 7 is consistent with what was previously reported. If new/changed/terminated income is reported then verification is required.

Therefore under SAR per ACL 12-25, when a household is unable to otherwise verify new/changed/terminated income, counties will require a separate statement signed under penalty of perjury attesting to the income amount reported as a change on the SAR 7.

FOR CDSS USE						
DATE RECEIVED:	DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:					
May 14, 2013						
/////						

CF 24 (7/12)

CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION (Continued)						
1.	RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: Policy/Regulation Interpretation QC Fair Hearing Other:	5. 6.	DATE OF REQUEST: COUNTY/ORGANIZATION:	NEED IEGI ONGE DT.		
		7. SUBJECT:				
2.	REQUESTOR NAME:	REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).				
3.	PHONE NO.:					
4.	REGULATION CITE(S):					

CF 24 (7/12)